Pre-school nutrition-related behaviours at home and early childhood education services: findings from the Growing Up in New Zealand longitudinal study
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Abstract

Objective: Pre-school nutrition-related behaviours influence diet and development of lifelong eating habits. We examined the prevalence and congruence of recommended nutrition-related behaviours (RNB) in home and early childhood education (ECE) services, exploring differences by child and ECE characteristics.

Design: Telephone interviews with mothers. Online survey of ECE managers/head teachers.

Setting: New Zealand.

Subjects: Children (n 1181) aged 45 months in the Growing Up in New Zealand longitudinal study.

Results: A mean 5.3 of 8 RNB were followed at home, with statistical differences by gender and ethnic group, but not socio-economic position. ECE services followed a mean 4.8 of 8 RNB, with differences by type of service and health-promotion programme participation. No congruence between adherence at home and in ECE services was found; half of children with high adherence at home attended a service with low adherence. A greater proportion of children in deprived communities attended a service with high adherence, compared with children living in the least deprived communities (20 and 12%, respectively).

Conclusions: Children, across all socio-economic positions, may not experience RNB at home. ECE settings provide an opportunity to improve or support behaviours learned at home. Targeting of health-promotion programmes in high-deprivation areas has resulted in higher adherence to RNB at these ECE services. The lack of congruence between home and ECE behaviours suggests health-promotion messages may not be effectively communicated to parents/family. Greater support is required across the ECE sector to adhere to RNB and promote wider change that can reach into homes.
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Early childhood is widely regarded as the ideal time to develop behaviours that assist with lifelong healthy eating patterns. Eating habits and food preferences are becoming established in the pre-school years and early childhood is characterized by ‘high plasticity’ and ‘rapid transitions’ that can effect behavioural change. Parents and caregivers have a high degree of control over a child’s food environment and a young child’s dietary pattern and behaviours are therefore easier to influence than older children’s and adults’. Additionally, many eating behaviours have been found to track from infancy to pre-school, early childhood through childhood from childhood to adolescence and into adulthood, confirming the importance of developing healthy behaviours early in life.

Families are a key social environment for the development of eating patterns and food preferences. Several behaviours that occur in the home have been found to be positively associated with a healthy diet in early childhood: eating breakfast at home; eating together as a family; positive parental role modelling; encouraging balance and variety; and not watching television or using screens while eating. Furthermore, there is evidence that some of these recommended behaviours may protect against the development of child overweight and obesity. Nutrition-related behaviours are embedded within a family’s socio-economic context and influenced by the resources available to them. The New Zealand Health
Survey found significant socio-economic differences in recommended nutrition-related behaviours (RNB) and dietary intake for children aged 2–14 years: those living in areas of high deprivation were 2.5 times more likely to have eaten breakfast at home fewer than five days in the past week, 2.8 times more likely to have eaten fast food three or more times in the past week, 3.5 times more likely to have had three or more fizzy drinks in the past week and 20% less likely to have met the daily vegetable and fruit intake recommendation compared with children living in the least deprived areas\(^{(21)}\). Children living in areas of high deprivation in New Zealand are three times more likely than children living in areas of low deprivation to be classified as obese based on their body mass, with one in five children in areas of high deprivation in the obese category\(^{(21)}\).

For children with less-than-optimal home nutrition environments, out-of-home early childhood education (ECE) may provide an opportunity to ameliorate or buffer preferences and behaviours learned at home\(^{(22)}\). As the majority of young children in high-income countries are now enrolled in a day care centre or kindergarten prior to formal schooling, these ECE settings have become an important component of children’s food and nutrition environments\(^{(20)}\). Systematic reviews have found that positive changes in young children’s eating behaviours occur when ECE teachers engage in mealtimes practices promoting self-regulation and use role modelling, although the lack of high-quality studies made it difficult to define exactly which mealtimes practices should be recommended\(^{(23)}\). Current guidelines for early education services in New Zealand\(^{(24)}\), Australia\(^{(25)}\), the USA\(^{(26)}\) and the UK\(^{(27)}\) consider the following nutrition-related behaviours to be ‘best practice’: staff sit with children while they eat and eat the same food as children; staff talk to children about food at mealtimes; staff promote water consumption and encourage children to try unfamiliar foods; and staff never hurry children to finish eating, never use food as a reward and never restrict or deny food as a punishment. Nutrition concepts learnt in early education environments (e.g. the health benefits from eating fruit and vegetables) are often discussed by children at home and can impact family eating behaviours and practices\(^{(28)}\). In addition, several studies have reported positive nutritional outcomes when children grow fruit and vegetables, and prepare, cook or bake food in the early education setting\(^{(29–32)}\). A recent study found the most important RNB for improving children’s dietary intake in childcare centres were educators’ modelling, nutrition education and not using food as a reward\(^{(33)}\).

Barriers to effective communication and sharing of health-promotion messages between home and ECE settings may result in a lack of concordance between parent and teacher behaviours\(^{(34)}\). Ecological systems theory, originally proposed by Bronfenbrenner\(^{(35)}\), describes the interrelationship between the home and ECE environment as a ‘mesosystem’ influencing child development. Most child development research considers concordance in the mesosystem of home and early education to be advantageous for child development (e.g. when home cultural practices and language are reflected and celebrated within the early education service, then children feel accepted and thrive). However, as described above, concordance is not always preferable if a high-quality ECE service is providing positive experiences, or quality food for example, that is not available within the home. Gubbels et al. state that quantitative studies are required that explore the mesosystem created by interactions between the home and early education to further elucidate the effect of environments on child nutrition and activity behaviours\(^{(36)}\).

The present paper describes parent and teacher adherence to RNB for a sample of New Zealand children in both their home and ECE settings, investigating differences by child demographics and ECE service characteristics. We further explore the extent to which adherence is similar or dissimilar across the two settings to see if children who experience home environments with low adherence to RNB attend a health-promoting early education environment, which may buffer or ameliorate their home experiences. Previous research analysing menus collected from New Zealand early education services found greater alignment with nutrition guidelines when services were located in areas of high deprivation\(^{(37)}\). Accordingly, we hypothesize that RNB will be more prevalent in ECE services in areas of high deprivation and that this may have a potential influence on adherence to RNB at home (i.e. greater concordance between settings for children living in areas of high deprivation).

**Methods**

**Data sources**

The present study utilizes data from two sources: the Growing Up in New Zealand (GUiNZ) longitudinal study\(^{(38)}\) and the Kai Time in ECE survey\(^{(39)}\). GUiNZ is the largest longitudinal study in New Zealand, following the health and development of a cohort of children born in 2009/10. The study recruited pregnant women from three district health board regions of New Zealand (Auckland, Counties Manukau and Waikato)\(^{(39)}\). The fourth data collection wave (DCW4) for GUiNZ was collected via a telephone call between February 2013 and January 2014 with 6211 mothers when their child was 45 months of age, representing over 90% retention across the pre-school period\(^{(40)}\). The GUiNZ cohort is broadly analogous to all New Zealand births over a similar time period, although slightly more ethnically and socio-demographically diverse\(^{(41)}\). Kai Time in ECE was a sixty-five-item online survey of parents and head teachers of 257 licensed early education services in the same three district health board regions of New Zealand where the GUiNZ cohort
was recruited, undertaken May to June 2014. All licensed services in this area were invited by email to take part. The 257 (30·3 %) services that participated were broadly representative of all licensed services in the area when compared on several ECE service characteristics, except for Māori cultural immersion centres (Kōhanga Reo), which were under-represented in the survey (39).

**Linkage of the data sets**

Data from the two sources were matched to create a sub-sample of 1181 children whose main childcare provider as reported by the mother in DCW4 had taken part in the Kai Time in ECE survey (Fig. 1). The matching process, described in Fig. 1, began with probabilistically matching of name and location provided by the mother in DCW4 with the name and location of services listed on the Ministry of Education’s Early Childhood Services Directory (August 2013), with each match manually checked or corrected. Additional matches were performed manually using Google and the Directory (Fig. 1). The Directory Service ID were then exactly matched with the Directory Service ID in the Kai Time in ECE data set. In total, 1181 children from DCW4 were successfully matched to 218 early education services from Kai Time in ECE (mean = 5·4 (sd 3·7) children in each ECE, range = 1–22). For analysis purposes, data were treated as cross-sectional; that is, assuming no change between data collection periods.

**Home variables**

During the GUiNZ DCW4 interview, mothers reported the frequency of eight RNB: (i) child eats breakfast daily; (ii) family sits together for main meal; (iii) mealtimes are seen as a time to talk to each other; (iv) mealtimes are never rushed; (v) child eats same food as parents for his/her main meal; (vi) child eats a variety of foods; (vii) family mealtimes are enjoyable; and (viii) screens/television rarely or never on when child is eating. Response categories (indicated in Table 1) were dichotomized and summed to create a count out of 8 for adherence. Observations with missing data (refused or don’t know responses) were excluded from relevant analyses (n ≤ 15). Additional child demographic data from the fifth GUiNZ data collection wave (gender, main ethnic group, socio-economic position, mother’s employment), collected face-to-face with mothers in the home when the child was 54 months old, were matched to the sub-sample. Ethnic group was mother-reported main ethnic group that the child identifies with, using Statistics New Zealand Level 1 classification (42). Socio-economic position was ascribed using the New Zealand Deprivation Index (NZDep2013) based on home address (43). NZDep2013 combines nine variables from the 2013 census to reflect eight dimensions of deprivation, assigning a score for each meshblock (small geographical unit containing approximately 60–110 people). Categories of NZDep2013 deciles describe households as being in the 30% least deprived areas.
(low deprivation) to the 30% most deprived areas (high deprivation). NZDep2013 has strong construct and criterion validity and is the most common measure of socio-economic position in health research in New Zealand(43).

**Early education variables**

The head teacher or manager who participated in the Kai Time in ECE survey reported the frequency of eight RNB: (i) staff sit with children while they eat; (ii) staff eat the same food as children; (iii) staff talk to children about food at mealtimes; (iv) staff never hurry children to finish eating; (v) staff promote water consumption; (vi) staff never use food as a reward or punishment by restricting or denying access to food; (vii) children make, bake or cook food as a reward or punishment by restricting or denying mealtimes; (viii) children participate in gardening activities. Response categories (indicated in Table 1) were dichotomized and summed to create a count out of 8 for adherence. Centre characteristics of type, district health board region, number of students enrolled, and proportion of Māori and Pacific students were taken from the ECE Service Directory. Teacher to child ratio, proportion of teachers fully qualified and participation in the Heart Foundation's Healthy Heart Award (HHA; a health-promotion programme in early education services in New Zealand) were self-reported in the Kai Time in ECE survey.

**Statistical analyses**

The distribution of responses for RNB in the home and education settings was examined and output by child demographics and centre characteristics, respectively. A total of 6205 children with information on four or more of the home RNB were included in home analyses, and 257 early education centres contribute to analyses of the education setting. To minimize potential bias, the full data sets were used to estimate prevalence of RNB. Spearman correlations were used to examine associations between RNB in the home and education setting separately and then across the two settings where possible (for the four similar RNB variables across the two settings). The number of RNB in each setting was summed and associations were examined between number of RNB and sociodemographic characteristics. Demographics of the sub-sample children (n 1181) were also compared with those of the full DCW4 GUinNZ cohort to estimate representativeness of the data.

Generalized linear models and \( \chi^2 \) tests were used to determine statistically significant differences in number of RNB by demographic characteristics. The matched sub-sample was used to examine the interrelationship of children’s exposure to RNB across home and education settings. Children were categorized as having low (≤4), moderate (5–6) or high (7–8) adherence to RNB in the home and, separately, the education setting. We cross-tabulated RNB category at home and school, overall and by NZDep2013 category. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used to identify statistically significant differences. An \( \alpha \) level of 0.05 was used for all statistical tests. Data were analysed using the statistical software packages STATA version SE/13.1 and SAS version 9.3.

**Results**

**Nutrition-related behaviours at home**

Almost all children at 45 months of age were reported by their mother to eat breakfast at home every day, and three out of every four children to eat a wide variety of foods, sit with their family to eat their main meal every day, and always or mostly eat the same foods as their parents (Table 1). Just over half reported that mealtimes were seen as a chance to talk to each other and a similar proportion found family mealtimes enjoyable. Less than half of children rarely or never watched screens while eating, or were never rushed while eating at home (Table 1). All of the home RNB, except for never having rushed mealtimes, were positively correlated with one another at \( P<0.0001 \). For comparability with the smaller set of data on the education setting, we only report correlations of \( r=0.15 \) or larger here: having main meals together as a family daily was positively correlated with children eating the same foods as parents (\( r=0.20 \) and mealtimes being seen as a time to talk (\( r=0.18 \)), the child eating a variety of foods was correlated with eating the same foods as parents (\( r=0.33 \)) and mealtimes being enjoyable (\( r=0.20 \)), and mealtimes being enjoyable was also correlated with mealtimes being seen as a time to talk (\( r=0.25 \)). Never having the television on when the child was eating was correlated with mealtimes being seen as a time to talk (\( r=0.16 \)). Overall, the average number of home RNB was 5·3 out of 8, with small but statistically significant differences by gender and ethnic group, but not socio-economic position (see online supplementary material, Table S1).

**Nutrition-related behaviours at licensed childcare services**

The most common nutrition-related behaviours in ECE services were staff promoting water consumption, never using food as a reward or punishment, and staff sitting with children while they ate (Table 1). Two out of every three early education services reported baking or cooking with children at least weekly, and a similar proportion gardened with children at least weekly. The least followed RNB in the early education setting were staff always or mostly eating the same food as children, always talking to children about food at mealtimes, and never hurrying children to finish eating (Table 1). Staff always talking to children about food was positively correlated with four variables: encouraging water consumption (\( r=0.27 \)); always sitting with children to eat (\( r=0.26 \)); always or mostly eating the same food as children (\( r=0.16 \)); and gardening at least weekly (\( r=0.16 \)). Additionally, staff always sitting with children to eat was positively correlated...
with promoting water consumption ($r = 0.16$) and gardening at least weekly was positively correlated with baking at least weekly ($r = 0.22$). The average number of RNB followed by early education services was 4.8 out of 8 (minimum 0, maximum 8). Kindergartens had proportionately greater adherence to RNB (mean 5.5 RNB), compared with community-based centres (4.7), privately owned centres (4.8) and playcentres (4.3). Services enrolled in the Heart Foundation’s HHA had higher average adherence to recommended behaviours (mean of 5.3 compared with 4.7, but with large variation; see online supplementary material, Table S2).

Table 1 Recommended nutrition-related behaviours adhered to in home and early education settings for 45-month-old New Zealand children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home behaviours*</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Early education behaviours†</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family sit together for main meal every daya</td>
<td>4880</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>Staff sit with children while they eata</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child eats same food as parentsb</td>
<td>4957</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>Staff eat and drink the same things as childrenb</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mealtimes are seen as a time to talk to each otherc</td>
<td>3457</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>Staff talk to children about food at mealtimesa</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mealtimes are never rushedd</td>
<td>2432</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>Staff never hurry children to finish eatingg</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child eats a variety of foodsf</td>
<td>4993</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>Staff encourage or promote water consumptiona</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>84.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family mealtimes are enjoyable for everyonc</td>
<td>3579</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>Staff never use food as a reward or deny food as a punishmentf</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child eats breakfast every dayc</td>
<td>5863</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>Children make, bake or cook food at least weeklya</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television is rarely on when child is eatingc</td>
<td>2875</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>Children garden at least weekly</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total children</td>
<td>6205</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Total services</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from the Growing Up in New Zealand 45-month data collection wave (DCW4). Missing data ($n ≤ 15$) were excluded from individual home variable analyses. †Reported as number of days per week; binary variable defined as ‘always’ and ‘almost always’ v. other responses. ‡Response options were ‘always’, ‘almost always’, ‘sometimes’, ‘almost never’ and ‘never’; binary variable defined as ‘always’ and ‘almost always’ v. other responses. §Response options were ‘never’, ‘occasionally’, ‘quite often’ and ‘mostly’; binary variable defined as ‘mostly’ v. other responses. ¶Response options were ‘never’, ‘occasionally’, ‘quite often’ and ‘mostly’; binary variable defined as ‘never’ v. other responses. **Response options were ‘everything’, ‘most things’, ‘a limited variety of things’ and ‘a very limited variety of things’; binary variable defined as ‘eats everything’ or ‘eats most things’ v. other responses. ***Response options were ‘always’, ‘almost always’, ‘sometimes’ and ‘almost never’, ‘never’; binary variable defined as ‘never’ or ‘almost never’ v. other responses.

Concordance between nutrition behaviours in home and early education settings

Demographics for the cohort of children in GUINZ ($n = 6211$) were compared with those of the sub-sample of children used in analyses comparing home and early education nutrition-related behaviours ($n = 1181$). The children in the sub-sample all lived in New Zealand and all attended licensed out-of-home group-based childcare at 45 months (compared with 95.2 and 77.8% of the full cohort, respectively). Children in the sub-sample attended licensed childcare for 1.5h/week less than did the full cohort. Mothers of children in the sub-sample were not statistically more likely to be in paid employment. They worked on average 28.8h/week, with 34% of mothers working 40h or more/week. The homes of children in the sub-sample were slightly less likely to be in areas of high neighbourhood deprivation, but there was still a sizeable number in each of the socio-economic position categories with which to conduct stratified analyses (see online supplementary material, Table S3).

There were no correlations in adherence between settings for the four RNB for which similar variables were collected in both settings, i.e. parent/teacher sitting with child for meals, parent/teacher eating the same food as child, parent/teacher talking to child at meals and parent/teacher not rushing child to eat ($P > 0.05$ for each pair). There was also no relationship between the number of RNB followed in a child’s home and early education environment ($P = 0.17$; Table 2). Almost half of children from homes with high adherence to RNB (7 or 8 out of 8 RNB) attended an early education service with low adherence to RNB (Table 2).

Nutrition-related behaviours by household socio-economic position

In the full cohort, adherence to RNB at home did not differ by socio-economic status ($P = 0.10$). Three of ten children had low adherence to nutrition-related behaviours in the home and fewer than one in ten had high adherence to nutrition-related behaviours at home ($7.4\%$). However, one in five children living in areas of high deprivation attended an early education service with high adherence to RNB; a higher proportion than among children living in areas of low deprivation ($P = 0.04$; Fig. 2). Children living in areas of high deprivation with poor adherence to RNB were more likely to attend an early education service following RNB when compared with similarly deprived children with high adherence at home (24.8 and 14.0%, respectively, $P = 0.01$; Fig. 2). There was no statistically significant difference for children living in areas of low household deprivation, who were equally as likely to attend an early education service with low adherence to RNB irrespective of home behaviours ($P = 0.87$).
The present comparative analysis of adherence to RNB in the home and childcare environments of 1181 children of pre-school age found little concordance between the two settings. Children living in homes following RNB were no more likely to attend early education services adhering to RNB, and half of children from homes with high adherence to RNB (irrespective of socio-economic position) attended a service with low adherence. It is a commonly expressed frustration of early education staff (including in New Zealand)\(^{39}\) that many parents do not follow best nutrition-related practices at home\(^{34,44–47}\). For the staff in early education services with high adherence to RNB,
only one out of every four children in their care (n 45/187, 24%) experienced high adherence to RNB at home. However, it was a minority of services that had high adherence themselves and there was wide variation across the sector in adherence to the ‘best practice’ of RNB. Notably, the majority of caregivers in both settings reported that children were sometimes rushed to finish eating. Parents and teachers may find it difficult to schedule seated, conversational and slow-paced lunch and snack times, but rushing a child when eating can override internal satiety cues and lead to overeating, or not eating enough quantity or variety of foods which exacerbates fussy eating. The US Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics warns against a non-responsive feeding approach (which includes both pressuring a child to eat and uninvolved feeding) as this is associated with excess weight gain(48).

It is possible that ECE staff in New Zealand are not aware of RNB as there are no mandated standards in the sector, only recommendations promoted by the Heart Foundation (with 20% of ECE services subscribing to its communications)(24). There is also limited inclusion of nutrition in teacher training across the ECE sector in New Zealand and nutrition education opportunities for ECE staff are sparse(49). A possible way to achieve more professional development in nutrition for ECE staff would be to incorporate aspects of the Heart Foundation’s HHA into widespread teacher training and professional practice, and/or the expansion of health-promotion programmes such as the HHA or Under 5 Energize(50), which promote knowledge transfer to staff. The HHA is currently voluntary and targeted to early education services in areas of high deprivation(51). As well as a menu improvement component, the programme contains training for staff on eating behaviours, including water promotion, seated mealtimes and talking to children about food(51).

Interventions for the prevention of obesity, such as the HHA, have been proved to have the greatest effect when targeting children at high risk (i.e. children already overweight and of lower socio-economic status)(52) and intuitively it is a commendable idea to target services where there is the greatest need to reduce inequity. However, the present study has found a need for greater support across the ECE sector to adhere with RNB, as over 40% of children attended childcare services with low adherence to the guidelines and a further 40% attended services with only moderate rather than high adherence, irrespective of household deprivation level.

In contrast to findings from the New Zealand Health Survey(21), GUiNZ children living in areas of high deprivation were not less likely to follow RNB at home. However, children living in deprived communities were significantly more likely to attend an early childcare service that followed recommended practices. There was therefore potentially an ameliorating or buffering effect for a quarter (24-8%) of children living in areas of high deprivation who experienced low adherence at home but attended an early childhood service with high adherence to RNB. By comparison, this occurred for only 9-1% of children living in areas of low deprivation with a low number of RNB at home. Interestingly, children living in homes with low adherence to RNB in areas of high deprivation were more likely to attend an early education service with high adherence to RNB when compared with similarly deprived children with high adherence at home. This may be due to the early education service responding to needs of children in their care, i.e. staff see that nutrition is a learning need for children attending and respond by improving the nutrition-related behaviours within their service (e.g. through engagement with the Heart Foundation’s HHA).

The lack of congruence between behaviours in the home and the ECE setting suggests that parents most likely do not choose an ECE service that reflects home adherence to RNB, and that there are barriers to effective communication and sharing of health-promotion messages between home and ECE settings. A recent review of parental childcare decision making in the USA found that although ‘health and safety’ often featured in parents’ decisions, this was due to concerns about ‘safety’ as opposed to nutrition or dietary practices which were generally not listed as key factors in decision making(53,54). Mothers of the children in the present study reported that they felt they had a choice when deciding which type of early childhood education to use (n 1040, 91-0% in DCW5). However, the top three reasons for choosing their child’s main childcare provider were unrelated to health or nutrition: location (15-8%), reputation of childcare provider (11-2%), and that it best suits work or studies (10-0%)(55). Barradough and Smith found that high levels of parental choice and satisfaction with childcare services were not related to research-based measures of quality in early education services and they suggest that ‘parents probably do not have knowledge to effectively evaluate quality and make critical choices’(55).

It is therefore incumbent on the government to ensure all ECE services have adequate information and training to follow RNB and monitor adherence to quality standards. Further research on the barriers ECE staff face in effective communication with parents to ensure consistency in RNB across settings would be helpful.

The strength of the present study is that it utilizes information from a sizeable subset of children involved in the largest longitudinal cohort study in New Zealand, for whom we had additional information from each child’s main childcare service. Previous research comparing nutrition-related aspects of the home and early education or childcare environments for young children has generally focused on differences in dietary intake between the two environments(56) and the present study is the first we are aware of that compares a range of nutrition-related behaviours. Limitations of the study include that behaviours were self-reported and thus potentially affected by social desirability or recall bias. Also, the wording of questions in
the home was child-specific and in the ECE was teacher/staff-specific, and not all nutrition-related behaviours were included in GUiNZ and Kai Time in ECE, both of which covered a wider range of topics. Future research focusing on eating behaviours in the home should also include robust measures of parental role modelling\(^{6,57}\), routines\(^{19,58,59}\) and parental feeding styles\(^{60-62}\) as these are emerging in the literature as important predictors of dietary and body size outcomes. A final limitation to note is the modest response rate in the online Kai Time in ECE survey of 30\%. Although the Kai Time sample was proportionately similar on a range of centre characteristics when compared with the total population of licensed childcare services within the regions surveyed, we cannot be certain that the Kai Time data are unaffected by non-response bias\(^{39}\).

**Conclusion**

The present paper has explored adherence to RNB within the home and centre-based childcare in a large sample of children aged 45 months. Nutrition-related behaviours with the lowest adherence in the home were eating with the television on and hurrying children to finish eating. Less than half of ECE services followed recommendations for role modelling healthy eating, talking to children about food during mealtimes and not hurrying children to finish eating. Only a small number of children (4\%) experienced high adherence to RNB in both their home and early education environment, and no relationships were found between adherence to RNB in a child's home and early education service. Children from communities with high levels of deprivation were significantly more likely to attend an early childcare service following recommended practices; a quarter of children living in disadvantaged communities experiencing low adherence to RNB at home attended an early education service with high adherence. Kindergartens (who have a higher proportion of fully trained staff) and ECE services with a Heart Foundation HHA had significantly higher adherence to RNB. This research points to a need for widespread health promotion across the ECE sector to provide healthier environments for young children.
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